

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/762,601	01/22/2004	Urs Leo Stadler	PP/1-21983/P1/CGC 2022/CI	5037	
	7590 06/28/2004		EXAM	INER	
Patent Department			MULCAHY	MULCAHY, PETER D	
Ciba Specialty Chemicals Corporation 540 White Plains Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 2005	5		1713	* 4	
Tarrytown, NY 10591-9005			DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	10/762,601	STADLER, URS LEO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAH INC DATE of this communication com	Peter D. Mulcahy	1713			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status					
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 and 8-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	epted or b) objected to by the ldrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/22/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Serial No. 10/762,601

Art Unit 1713

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.

The temperature range recited within this claim is broader than the range in the claim from which it depends. As such this does not further limit the claim from which it depends and as such it is indefinite.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3 and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Prabhu et al., U.S. Patent 5,880,191 taken in view of Needham, U.S. Patent 5,260,381.

The Prabhu patent teaches polymeric molding compositions which have stabilizer systems incorporated therein. The stabilizer system in Prabhu contains the phosphite and/or phosphonite as shown at column 7 lines 18+. The instantly claimed

Serial No. 10/762,601

Art Unit 1713

amine oxides are shown at column 8 lines 15+. The instantly claimed hindered amine stabilizer is shown at column 9 lines 10+. This patent is very specific as to the preferred molding composition and teaches that they be used in molding applications. This patent identifies extrusion as a preferable molding application but is silent as to the utilization of the thermoplastic resin composition and the stabilizer system incorporated therein, in rotomolding applications as instantly claimed.

The Needham patent also shows thermoplastic molding compositions based upon polyethylene and further having incorporated stabilizer systems which are similar to those as claimed as well as shown in Prabhu et al. Needham is specific to rotomolding and the claim limitations which are directed to the rotomolding processes are conventionally incorporated rotomolding process steps shown within Needham. The Examiner maintains that it would be prima-facie obvious to subject the composition of Prabhu to the rotomolding process as shown in Needham given that the compositions are routinely utilized in rotomolding applications and one of ordinary skill in the art would have a reasonable expectation of success when utilizing the thermoplastic compositions in rotomolding processes as shown in Needham.

Art Unit 1713

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter D. Mulcahy, whose telephone number is (571) 272-1107. The examiner can normally be reached during regular business hours.

The fax telephone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either private PAIR or public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

P. Mulcahy:cdc June 25, 2004

> PETERYĎ. MULCAHY PRIMARY EXAMINER